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Validation:

*the process of assessing by independent means the quality of the data products derived from the system outputs*

LPV operates under this definition, but with the understanding that validation activities should consider user accuracy needs and feedback to algorithm improvements.
Mission Statement & Goals

- to foster quantitative validation of higher level global land products derived from remote sensing data and relay results so they are relevant to users
- to increase the quality and economy of global satellite product validation via developing and promoting international standards and protocols for field sampling, scaling, error budgeting, data exchange for global land product validation
- to advocate mission-long validation and intercomparison programs for current and future earth observing satellites.
Objectives

- Work with users to define uncertainty objectives
- Identify opportunities for coordination and collaboration
  - Through product Inter-comparisons
  - Through global test sites for systematic measurements
- Develop consensus “best practice” protocols for data collection and description
  - Workshops
  - Case studies
  - Publications (*with CEOS WGCV “endorsement”?*)
- To develop procedures for validation, data exchange and management - with a focus on land product validation core sites (done in conjunction with WGISS)
- To serve as a clearinghouse for accuracy statements on CEOS member global land products (possibly through the CEOS/WMO database?)
Previously: LPV provides a validation service to the Integrated Global Observation Strategy’s:

Global Terrestrial Observation System and
Global Carbon Observing System

Implications:

– Focus Products: Biophysical, Land Cover, Fire Disturbance, & Albedo
– Working in conjunction with GOFC/GOLD’s regional networks
– Need to integrate with TEMS, GT-Net, & UN’s GLC-net

Proposed: LPV provides a validation service to GEOSS
LPV is working toward protocols with three steps:

- **Workshops** *(kick off, strategy/work plan, results)*
  Bringing together producers, users, and validation experts to initiate discussion, establish the “state of the art”, and consider core sites or regions for validation activities

- **Case studies** *(previously)* - **Inter-comparisons** *(currently)*
  Posted on the LPV web site
  First step in developing a more formal protocol

- **Publication(s)** *(special issue)*
  Peer review document with details pertaining to the validation of a given global land product.
### “Intercomparison” General Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAI</th>
<th>Albedo</th>
<th>Fire</th>
<th>Land cover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frascati, Italy Privette et al. 2001</td>
<td>EGU, Vienna 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana August 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current, on-going research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Events and Meetings:**
- **Topical meeting to establish data requirements**
- **Decide on Sites**
- **Develop data sharing infrastructure**
- **Field Campaigns & individual product analysis**
- **Synthesis of results**
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Topical workshops

- **Results workshop for LAI-intercomparison**
  16 August 2004, University of Montana, Missoula, USA
  Established an agreement for data sharing and a paper submitted to the special issue

- **Ground-based Accuracy Assessments for Fire Occurrence and Deforestation Events**
  26 July 2004, Brasilia
  Part of the Large scale study of the Biosphere and atmosphere in Amazonia (LBA)

- **GOFC-GOLD/FAO Workshop on Harmonization of Global Land Cover Product**
  15-16 July 2004, FAO in Rome
  A manuscript on this issue has been submitted to the special issue

Upcoming…

- **Albedo/BRDF Intercomparison**
  2005 Avignon, France

- **Continuous Fields validation**
  late summer 2005, location to be determined…
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The initial research efforts to validate global Leaf Area Index products included the European “VALERI” program, the NASA-funded “BigFoot” program, BU’s interest in validating its own product and Canada’s validation of their country LAI product (Privette et al. 1998).

CEOS LPV brought these and additional efforts together for its initial “intercomparison” (Privette et al., 2001).

Currently, nine groups are participating and sharing LAI-field data and high-resolution LAI images covering 56 sites (Morisette et al., 2004).

Collaboration involves sharing data, software, and ideas.
LAI workshop results: Global product validation framework

a) Organizing entity: CEOS LPV

b) Participants
   - interest in using and/or validating global LAI products
   - willing to share data
   - existing resources/funding
   - ability to meet occasionally

Site-specific procedures and results from each participant (exists for ~50 sites)

c) Data sharing mechanism for site-specific field data and high resolution LAI maps from each site (Mercury system at ORNL)

d) Synthesis of results toward global accuracy assessment (research needed)
General global product validation protocol

- **Global validation**
  - **Correlation analysis**
    - **Medium resolution products to be validated**
  - **Value(s) at the site level**
  - **Transfer function**
    - **High spatial resolution image (SPOT/ETM/ASTER ...)**
  - **Value at the ESU level**
  - **Averaging**
    - **Individual measurements**

- **50-100 sites**
- **20-100 ESUs/site**
- **10-100 measurements (Elementary Sampling Unit)**
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Ground-based accuracy assessment for deforestation and fire disturbance in Brazil

- Participants: Universities & Amazonian Institutes
- Presentation and participation from, Embrapa, INPE, IBAMA, NASA, CEOS, GOFC/GOLD, UMd, Universidade Federal do Acre, etc.
- Initial list of network participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Field Contact</th>
<th>High Res. Contact</th>
<th>Major Biome</th>
<th>Burn Seas</th>
<th>Experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paragominas, PA</td>
<td>Carlos Souza</td>
<td>Epiphano/Morisette</td>
<td>Dense Forest</td>
<td>May-Oct</td>
<td>DF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinop, MT</td>
<td>Carlos Souza</td>
<td>Epiphano/Morisette</td>
<td>Transition For</td>
<td>May-Oct</td>
<td>DF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acre</td>
<td>Foster Brown</td>
<td>Epiphano/Morisette</td>
<td>Dense/Open F</td>
<td>Aug-Oct</td>
<td>DF Fire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santarem, PA</td>
<td>Fernando</td>
<td>Epiphano/Morisette</td>
<td>Dense Forest</td>
<td>Nov-Dec</td>
<td>DF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE Para</td>
<td>Arlete</td>
<td>Epiphano/Morisette</td>
<td>Secondary Fo</td>
<td>Aug-Oct</td>
<td>DF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roraima</td>
<td>Wilfrid Schroeder</td>
<td>Epiphano/Morisette</td>
<td>Open Forest</td>
<td>Jan-Feb</td>
<td>Fire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alto Floresta?</td>
<td>João Andrade - INPE</td>
<td>Epiphano/Morisette</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendations from accuracy assessment for deforestation and fire disturbance in Brazil

- Interpret the call from the Minister of Environment for more transparency to imply that all estimates of deforestation, selective logging, and hot spots/burn areas should have explicit uncertainty estimates.
- First step for validation network is to select specific products to be validated (Burnt area and Deforestation – with specifics for these products) then establish protocol (current protocol listed on the LBA-Ecology web site)
- High resolution imagery issues:
  - Space Agencies (CEOS members) to provide access to high resolution data (ASTER, EO-1, Landsat 7 or 5, CBERS) to field site collaborators
  - Acquisition of high-res (~1m) satellite and airborne imagery necessary for more widespread accuracy assessment in critical areas.
- Integrate this effort with GOFC-GOLD and CEOS-LPV program.
- Integrate this effort with local users through information dissemination and training.
- Use producer/user collaboration to establish accuracy requirements:
  - Consider annual variability
  - Pick a target (start with 20% for deforestation)
  - What does the LBA community expect of the Prodes data set?
- Solicit funding for joint Amazon basin-wide ground-based validation (GPS, software, imagery, training, video conferencing and personnel)
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Harmonization of Global land cover product

- **Harmonization resources:**
  - Capacity building and web-based resources (LCCS)
  - Raise awareness and foster use of harmonized products

- **Harmonization experiences for existing datasets:**
  - Develop legend translation protocols/case studies
  - Translated legends: IGBP/CORINE/GLC2000/Anderson/IPCC …
  - Compatibility/Comparability of datasets

- **Harmonization in future mapping products:**
  - Impact on future projects and operational programs
  - Standardized legend generation (e.g. MERIS products)
  - Consider inconsistencies in previous maps

- **Harmonization and validation are parallel efforts**

Information provided by:
Martin Herold and Chris Schmullius, GOFC GOLD Land Cover Project Office
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Framework for joint GOFC-GOLD/CEOS Harmonization/Validation initiative

- Primary Validation of Existing LC products
- Comparative validation
- Updated valid./change
- Validation of new products

Design based sample of reference sites

Legend translations

In-situ global

Updated interpretations

Reference database: statistically robust, consistent, harmonized, updated, and accessible

Time

Degree of comparability and harmonization

Product synergy

 Updated valid./change
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2005 Avignon, France  
Co-chairs: Fred Baret, Crystal Schaaf, Jeff Privette, Jeff Morisette

This workshop will build on the discussions held at the first LPV workshop on Albedo Products held in Boston, USA in October 2002.

The goals of this day and a half workshop are to design and initiate a validation and comparison exercise for the various satellite-derived land surface albedo products which are now available from a number of instruments.

It is hoped that participants from a number of long term field sites will be willing to work with satellite albedo producers for one or more designated periods to provide an assessment of the operational products. While these would primarily be "virtual" campaigns - utilizing existing field and satellite data resources - the LPV will be able to provide limited support for posting data and comparisons and facilitating communication on the web.

To register: e-mail to albedo_2005@avignon.inra.fr by 15 March 2005 (registration for the EGU is also required, deadline for early registration is 8 April 2005).
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NASA funding for LPV activities

“Maintaining and Refining NASA’s Land Product Validation Infrastructure”

Funding for:
- post-doc research position (Sebastien Garrigue) and
- LPV workshops
- web infrastructure
- data for “Core Sites”

Three years of support: 2004-2006
“CEOS Core Sites”: WGISS Test Facility
Joint project between CEOS Working Group on Cal/Val and Working Group on Information Systems and Services

The WGCV 22nd plenary established, for the long term sustainability of this effort, it must be a distributed system where each space agency stages data and derived-products from their sensors.

More on this tomorrow…
Art. 1 Purpose of this Memorandum of Understanding

The purpose of this MoU is to establish the framework for cooperation between the Parties in the activities of WGCV’s Land Product Validation subgroup. Annex 1 to this MoU contains the basic technical data of DLR’s DEMMIN test site and high-resolution data products generated from this test site. Annex 1 forms an integral part of this MoU.
**LPV “Special Issue” – ongoing**

- **Special Issue:** describing the state of the art research on both protocol and results for validation and accuracy assessment of global land products (Liang, Baret and Morisette, eds.)
- Over 20 papers have been submitted, covering land cover, burned area, biosphysical (VI, LAI, fAPAR, GPP)
- Several members from the user community have agreed to write a note for each section on the implication for the uncertainty/validation of the products (land cover, fire/burn), but still need an article on biosphysical parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation papers</td>
<td>submissions</td>
<td>reviews</td>
<td>revisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User perspective papers</td>
<td>submissions</td>
<td>reviews</td>
<td>revisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Currently on schedule!*
Requested by “Conference of the Parties – 9”

“Section F: Terrestrial Domain” forwarded for comment to LPV through Alan Belward

WGCV mentioned 19 times, LPV explicitly mentioned once

Special issue should provide “state-of-the-science” for several parameters listed in section F, Table 12:

- Snow cover (Glaciers)
- Albedo
- Land use (historical)
- Land cover
- LAI/FAPAR
- Biomass
- Fire disturbance
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Accuracy statements

- Should be “user-oriented” and supported with peer-review literature
- Standardize/summarize information for each product
- MODIS land team had planned to update CEOS information for MODIS land products
Satellite Systems and Requirements
(The Official CEOS/WMO Online Database)
Data source: CEOS/WMO database, release February 2003, Version 2.5

- Observational requirements (WMO, WCRP, GCOS, GOOS, GTOS, IGBP, ICSU, UNEP)
- Space Agency and Missions
- Missions and Instruments
- Instruments
- Parameters measured by a space-based instrument
- Parameters measured by a surface-based instrument
- Instruments that measure a parameter

[Up]

You are visitor number 08015 to this page

Last updated on 15 April 2003
CEOS/WMO database, potential framework

CEOS/WMO database

WGCV subgroup page

Link to accuracy statement for each product

- Overall accuracy statement
- Link to QA information
- List of support material

... supporting materials

Producer maintained validation page

- Title, author, abstract
- Figures/captions
- Tables/captions
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MODIS example: “Accuracy Statements” (1/3)

MODIS land team validation

News:
- MODIS Vegetation workshop II, University of Montana, 17-19 August 2004
- MODIS Land Data Operational Product Evaluation (LDOPE) report will not be available to attendees with the analysis and quality assessment of the MODIS land products.
- Call for Papers - TEAM Special Issue on Global Land Product Validation.
- Coordinated MODIS land validation activities will continue through the recently funded proposals: Monitoring and Estimating MODIS Land Product Validation Infrastructure.

MODIS News
- Terra
- Aqua

Landsat 7 News
- Landsat ETM+ Dataset
- Images
- Recent calibration the Technical and Validation Team.

MODLAND Validation

MODLAND product quality and validation. The MODLAND product quality and validation activities are part of international validation standards and activities through close coordination with the Committee on Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS) Land Product Validation subgroup, under the Working Group on Calibration and Validation (WGCV).

MODLAND uses several validation techniques to develop uncertainty information on its products. These include comparisons with in situ data collected over a distributed set of validation test sites, comparisons with data and products from other airborne and spaceborne sensors (e.g., SeaWiFS, AVHRR, MISR, TM/ETM+, ASTER), inter-comparison of trends derived from independently obtained reference data and MODLAND products, and analysis of process model results (including EOS interdisciplinary Science models) which are driven or constrained by MODLAND products.

MODLAND’s primary validation technique includes the collection of and comparison with field and aircraft data, and comparison with data and products from other satellites. The infrastructure for these efforts has resulted in the establishment of a semi-permanent array of EOS Land Validation Core Sites, which are located across the contiguous United States. Results of all validation activities are conveyed to the end user through both published literature and the Land Product Validation status.
MODIS example: “Accuracy Statements” (2/3)

Accuracy Statement for each product

EOS Land Validation

Status for: Surface Reflectance (MOD09)

General Accuracy Statement

Validation of stage 1 has been achieved for the surface reflectance product (MOD09). The accuracy of the MODIS operational surface reflectance product is better than 5% reflectance or 0% of the signal, which ever is greater, with slight variation from band to band.

Product status updated on October 2003

Supporting Studies:

Title: Atmospheric correction of MODIS data in the visible to middle-infrared first results
Author: Eric F. Vermote, Nitze Z, El Safiouny and Christopher O. Justice
View Summary Results From This Document

Additional Validation and Product Quality

PI Maintained Validation Page
Product Quality Documentation for MOD09A1 _ Terra
Product Quality Documentation for MOD09A2 _ Terra
Product Quality Documentation for MOD09Q1 _ Terra

Summary Figures and Tables

Figure 1: The validation of the atmospheric correction has been performed by comparing the aerosol optical thickness used in the correction algorithm to MODIS data as is.
MODIS example: “Accuracy Statements” (3/3)

Support material for each Accuracy Statement - updated by product PI and validation community
LPV outstanding issues

• Defining user accuracy requirements remains a challenge.

• There are no established standards on how to relay product accuracy to users.

• LPV covers many satellite and many products. Membership is not well defined, LPV could benefit from a call from membership from CEOS

• Perhaps WGCV has not been consistent among subgroups ("land"/ "atmosphere" or "biophysical"/"atmospheric chemistry")

• Multi-sensor products offer great potential. The related algorithms will require an understanding of the accuracy of each sensor’s input.
LPV recommendations

- Continue with “recommendation 3” on the convergence to LCCS, perhaps explicitly listing some of the steps documented in the GOFC/GOLD/LPV harmonization of land cover

- CEOS WGCV develop a plan to share background information and data acquisition and access for new satellite data (CHRIS/PROBA, CBERES-2, Disaster Monitoring Constellation) – related to WTF

- CEOS members should continue to provide mechanism for field-data sharing (example: the NASA-sponsored MERCURY system)


