

ESA Validation Strategy for Optical Land imaging sensors

Valentina Boccia, Steffen Dransfeld, Ferran Gascon, Philippe Goryl

Fabrizio Niro, Georgia Doxani, Jennifer Adams,

ESA UNCLASSIFIED – For ESA Official Use Only





- Background and Motivations
- Vision and Principles
- Rationale and Approach
- Status and needs from Land Validation WS 2020
- Detailed recommendations from the WS
- Conclusion and Outlook



Background and Motivations



- The fleet of current and future ESA optical land imaging sensors (S-2, S-3, Proba-V, FLEX, CHIME) ensures unprecedented observation capability in terms of spatiotemporal-spectral coverage.
- Yet, critical questions remain as **how to harness the full potential of such big amount of data**, which are complementary in principle, but inherently **diverse** in terms of: spatio-temporal resolution, radiometric accuracy and sensitivity, spectral coverage.
- Likewise, there is a recent increase in availability of **Cal/Val data** for Land, although **inconsistencies** in the used practices and associated quality information still hamper their integrated and synergistic use for satellite products validation.



Vision and Principles



Vision

- Work towards enhancing interoperability of current and future ESA optical land sensors.
- The long-term vision is a system-of-systems concept enabling seamless exploitation of current and future EO optical data for downstream applications.

Principles (stem from QA4EO)

- Ensuring that the EO data is provided with fully traceable indicator of their quality, properly documented and quantitatively tied to an international standard (ideally to SI).
- Traceability and uncertainty estimates allow understanding and characterizing cross-mission biases, therefore enabling interoperability.



- The Quality Assurance framework for Earth Observation (QA4EO)
- Looks to make the GUM accessible to the EO community



Rationale and Approach



- Consistency across sensors shall be ensured starting at TOA level and verified along the full chain in a stepwise approach → BOA → Land products
- Provision of **uncertainty** (ideally at pixel level) to be propagated along the full chain starting from L1 → L2 ,...
- **Benchmarking** exercises to understand and solve potential discrepancies between algorithms (e.g., cloud mask, AC)
- Adoption of common practices and internationally agreed references
- Use of community-agreed **protocols** in Cal/Val measurements



Building blocks for a Cal/Val integrated solution



- **Metrology:** to provide the framework and practices to derive uncertainty quantified EO data
- **RTM & Inter-comparison**: to fully understand the uncertainty budget and perform benchmarking
- FRM & Supersites: super-characterized sites (metrology & 3D) to establish Cal/Val protocols
- Protocols: to provide community-agreed practices for measurements and spatio-temporal upscaling
- Ad-hoc campaigns: to test/verify advanced techniques, and indepth validation at local scale
- **Networks**: to scale-up the Cal/Val analysis at global scale in an operational context
- **Database and tools**: to facilitate uptake of Cal/Val data using standardized procedures



Example application to TOA radiometry Cal/Val



- If we apply this general framework to Level 1 (TOA radiometry) we observe that we have very good level of readiness.
- All building blocks are in place, some fully operational (many RTMs, GSICS, RadCalNet, DIMITRI), some coming soon (Eradiate), some planned (TRUTHS).
- We have good confidence on our ability to assess TOA radiometry and understand cross-mission biases at TOA level.
- Similar good situation in the **Ocean Color** domain (AERONET-OC, BOUSSOLE, ...).
- On the other hand, in the Land domain we see clear gaps, already at BOA level.



Needs and way forward for Land Cal/Val strategy

esa

- In order to understand the status and gaps in the Land validation domain a Strategy WS was organized by ESA during 30 Nov 1 Dec 2020.
- Presentations were arranged into **three main thematic** areas (metrological practices in Cal/Val, operational Cal/Val and recent advances) and concluded with discussion.
- The WS was attended by key EU partners in the Land Cal/Val domain (CEOS, JRC, NPL, UoS, ACRI-ST, Rayference, VITO, RBINS, WUR, NRC).
- A list of clear **recommendations** and actions were collected and elaborated into a **Report**, which is **published on-line**:

https://earth.esa.int/eogateway/events/esa-workshop-on-land-validation-strategy



Land Validation in a metrological context

- Metrological Practices (NPL)
- FRM for Vegetation (NPL)
- Eradiate RTM (Rayference)



Land Validation in an operational context

- CCVS Copernicus Validation (ACRI-ST)
- CEOS LPV Super-sites (CEOS-LPV)
- GBOV Copernicus service (ACRI-ST)
- Land Cal/Val for S3 MPC (U. Southampton)



Recent advances in Land Cal/Val

- RadCalNet (CEOS)
- HYPERNETS (RBINS for ESA/EC)
- UAV-based and low-cost sensors (WUR for ESA)
- UAV-based (NRC)

Main take-home from the WS

- A large number of concurrent projects are running under different umbrellas (ESA, EC, CEOS, ...).
- **Synergies** are still largely **under-exploited**, notably for Sentinels, causing duplication of efforts and increase of associated costs.
- Existing EU and US networks are potentially available, although most of them were **not primarily designed** for Cal/Val.
- The one-take-home-message form the WS:
 "We cannot just leverage on existing infrastructure, we should work to fill the gaps (with priorities) with a sustainable long-term solution"





💶 📕 🛌 🚛 🛶 💵 🚎 🔚 📰 🔤 🔤 📲 📲 🚍 🚔 🐜 🔯 📲 🚍 🚼 🛨 💥 🚍 🕍 💓 🔸 THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY



Cal/Val networks thematic and geographical data gaps



 The lack of a ground-based reference data network for SR validation still limits our ability to validate BOA products and understand the discrepancies in the adopted AC approaches.

[REC] \rightarrow **To set-up** a ground-based global network of **Surface Reflectance** automated measurements, ideally hyper-spectral (multimission) and multi-angular (BRF characterisation) \rightarrow The **HYPERNETS** project is currently working in this direction.

 Clear data gap in the geographical coverage of existing networks, notably in Africa, South- America and Asia, for validation of vegetation parameters, LAI, FAPAR.

[REC] \rightarrow To fill this **geographical gap**, the GBOV project has started to fill the gap, but much more effort is still required.



Cal/Val networks readiness



INTEGRATED CARBON OBSERVATION SYSTEM

• Existing networks are not primarily designed for validation purposes; the lack of uncertainty information and the **disparity** of used protocols still limit their integrated usage in satellite-products validation.

[REC] \rightarrow To foster adoption of Cal/Val best practices across existing networks and support **adaptation** of these networks for meeting Cal/Val needs.

• ESA in collaboration with EC is developing a suite of innovative spaceborne optical sensors focusing on land, namely: FLEX, LSTM and CHIME.

[REC] \rightarrow To enhance readiness to support Cal/Val needs of future missions (e.g., fluorescence), exploiting synergies, such as using **super-sites** for measuring multiple geo-physical variables (multi-mission purpose).





CEOS Cal/Val super-sites

Cal/Val protocols and FRM



 Provision of FRMs in a sustainable way over globally representative network of sites is necessary for operational land Cal/Val system (to reach stage 4).

[REC] \rightarrow To enable **transition** of FRM from R&D to an **operational** system of permanent sites and to expand their geographical coverage.

Community-Agreed-upon protocols are still missing for some terrestrial ECVs, notably for SR, FAPAR, and phenology.

[REC] \rightarrow To fill this gap (e.g. FRM4VEG protocols for SR, FAPAR) and collaborate with other CEOS agencies to buy-in **consensus** and promote wide adoption by the community.

FRM concept

.⊆

- Evidence of metrological SI-traceability
- Follow community agreed protocols
- Include full uncertainty budget
- Inter-comparison exercise



Advanced technological solutions



- Advanced technological devices (UAV-based, automated sensors) are becoming an attractive and cost-effective solution for enhancing spatio/temporal sampling in Land Cal/Val.
- **Lidar** systems have the additional advantage of being illuminationindependent and allow detailed 3D characterisation of the sites and estimation of vegetation parameters (e.g., LAI).

[REC] \rightarrow To update CEOS-LPV protocols to keep pace with technological evolution.

[REC] \rightarrow To better characterize the accuracy of such advanced devices, for Cal/Val and biophysical parameters estimation, by benchmarking against traditional methods or RTM simulations.



Courtesy of B. Brede (WUR)



PiLAI 1.0 (Vellekoop 2019)



PiLAI 2.0 (concept)



Wytham Woods, UK (deciduous broadleaf forest)

Courtesy of L. Brown (UoS)



Cal/Val data discoverability and accessibility

• The **uptake of Cal/Val data** from the community is strongly **limited** by the difficulty in discovering, accessing, and using the available measurements, in particular for field campaigns.

[REC] \rightarrow To set-up a **centralized** repository of Cal/Val data for Land, following the **FAIR** guiding principles, to collect data acquired within current and future initiatives.

• Online validation tools based on community protocols allow transparent and standardised validation. The OLIVE tool was valuable example in this respect, but it is currently outdated and not maintained.

[REC] \rightarrow To support upgrade and secure the maintenance of OLIVE and improve BELMANIP site selection (update LC map and optimize sampling for S-2 resolution).



Fiducial Reference Data Sets Validation Good Practice Global Satellite Product Documen Subsets P2 MODIS Geoland A start P3 Pn CERES XXX Fernandes et al., (2014). Global LAI Example of fiducial reference data for soil moisture. Product Validation Good Practices. data sites for each produc doi:10.5067/doc/ceoswgcv/lpv/lai.002 automatically delivered. **Online Validation Tool** esa Oli√E Example of OLIVE validation tool for LAI and FAPAR [3] Standardized Validation Report Standardized Intercomparison Report

__ ■ ■ ↓ ■ ↓ ■ ↓ ■ ≝ __ ■ ■ ■ = = ₩ __ ■ ■ ■ ■ = ₩ ₩ = ₩



Conclusion and Outlook



- ESA is putting forward a Land Validation strategy with the long-term objective to facilitate **interoperability** of current and future **land imaging optical sensors**.
- The foundation of this strategy stem from the **QA4EO principles** of ensuring that a fully traceable and documented quality indicator is attached to each EO products.
- Traceability and uncertainty estimate coupled with inter-comparison exercises enable us for **understanding** and fully characterizing **cross-mission biases**.
- The strategy is built around a set of **building blocks** (metrology, RTM, FRM, super-sites, networks, campaigns, database and tools); readiness of these blocks is assessed starting from Level 1.
- While for TOA we see good level of readiness, in the Land domain some clear gaps were identified (already at BOA), which currently limit our ability to assess inter-sensors biases.
- Leveraging existing infrastructures for Land is not enough, clear need to fill the gaps (surface reflectance, geographical coverage, network suitability, protocols readiness, tools).
- ESA in strong liaison with CEOS-LPV will work to **fill these gaps**, promoting adoption of common practices, exploiting synergies and facilitating the **uptake** of Cal/Val data (tools).

Q&A

