**Arrived late, was as LPS til 2:15 - Missed Miguel’s intro and Albrecht’s.**

**Arrived in the middle of the GCOS discussion, not sure what was missed.**

**GCOS Discussion – Miguel**

I believe Miguel was briefing the group on his experience before the TOPC panel.

Requirements for Climate, requirements for adaptation. Is adaptation a separate ECV? Satellite requirements for ECVs may vary based on the application

Need a two-way process for defining requirements within GCOS. Time and effort is expended providing feedback for these requirements and they are not always responsive.

SP: What is the **process** for evaluating and creating the requirements within GCOS?? This should be transparent. What are the numbers, why are they there and where did they come from? Each number should have a reference so they are traceable.

SP: LPV should just focus on the principles.

Protocols come from the community. GCOS is independent of our protocols.

Our efforts in developing the protocols should be coming from climate sensitivity studies, not from GCOS. Need to move forward and not wait for them.

We need to provide feedback from our group, a clear recommendation from LPV and the community. What is the physical quantity and how is it being accessed?

We will appoint Fernando to be our GCOS representative –

GCOS recognizes it needs move toward better gender and geographic balance. Will they change? Sending Fernando doesn’t help in either respect. Miguel notes that NASA does not have a good understanding of GCOS. It was also suggested by COJ that NOAA might provide a good candidate to represent LPV.

Discussion about working with WG Climate

ET and Biomass, can we approach WG Climate?

Negative. POC for WGClimate is LPV (Albrect).